

BREAKING NEWS

Saudi Arabia is shutting down about half of its oil output after drone strikes hit production facilities, people familiar say

THE WALL STREET JOURNAL.

This copy is for your personal, non-commercial use only. To order presentation-ready copies for distribution to your colleagues, clients or customers visit <https://www.djreprints.com>.

<https://www.wsj.com/articles/will-your-uploaded-mind-still-be-you-11568386410>

THE SATURDAY ESSAY

Will Your Uploaded Mind Still Be You?

The day is coming when we will be able to scan our entire consciousness into a computer. How will we coexist with our digital replicas?

By Michael S.A. Graziano

Sept. 13, 2019 10:53 am ET

Imagine a future in which a machine can scan your brain and migrate the essentials of your mind to a computer. It's called mind uploading—preserving a person's consciousness in a digital afterlife. As a neuroscientist, I'm convinced that mind uploading will happen someday. There are no laws of physics that stand in the way. It depends, however, on technology that has not yet been invented, so nobody knows when mind uploading might become available.

The brain relies on an elegant, underlying principle: A simple working part, the neuron, is repeated over and over to create complexity. The human brain contains about 86 billion neurons interconnected by about 100 trillion synapses. Information flows and transforms through those vast connected networks in complex and unpredictable patterns, creating the mind.

To upload a person's mind, at least two technical challenges would need to be solved. First, we would need to build an artificial brain made of simulated neurons. Second, we would need to scan a person's actual, biological brain and measure exactly how its neurons are connected to each other, to be able to copy that pattern in the artificial brain. Nobody knows if those two steps would really re-create a person's mind or if other, subtler aspects of the biology of the brain must be copied as well, but it is a good starting place.

The first technical challenge is all but solved. Engineers already know how to create artificial, simulated neurons and connect them together through synapses. We can simulate networks of thousands or even millions of neurons. The modern wonders of artificial intelligence, like Siri or self-driving cars, depend on large artificial neural networks. Simulating a brain with 86 billion neurons is a little beyond current technology, but probably not for long. Computer technology is always improving.

The second challenge is much harder. A team of scientists at Albert Einstein College of Medicine recently used an electron microscope to map the complete “connectome”—the pattern of connectivity among all neurons—in a roundworm, a tiny creature that has about 300 neurons. The task required almost 10 years. It's a milestone. But to upload a human brain, we probably want a scanner that doesn't kill the subject, and we would need it to scan about a hundred million times as many details. That technology doesn't yet exist. The most wildly optimistic predictions place mind uploading within a few decades, but I would not be surprised if it took centuries.

However long the technology takes, it seems likely to be a part of our future, so it's worth taking a moment now to think about the implications. What will mind-uploading mean for us philosophically and morally?

Suppose I decide to have my brain scanned and my mind uploaded. Obviously, nobody knows what the process will really entail, but here's one scenario: A conscious mind wakes up. It has my personality, memories, wisdom and emotions. It thinks it's me. It can continue to learn and remember, because adaptability is the essence of an artificial neural network. Its synaptic connections continue to change with experience.

Sim-me (that is, simulated me) looks around and finds himself in a simulated, videogame environment. If that world is rendered well, it will look pretty much like the real world, and his virtual body will look like a real body. Maybe sim-me is assigned an apartment in a simulated version of Manhattan, where he lives with a whole population of other uploaded people in digital bodies. Sim-me can enjoy a stroll through the digitally rendered city on a beautiful day with always perfect weather. Smell, taste and touch might be muted because of the overwhelming bandwidth required to handle that type of information. By and large, however, sim-me can think to himself, “Ah, that upload was worth the money. I've reached the digital afterlife, and it's a safe and



Carrie-Anne Moss and Keanu Reeves in 'The Matrix' (1999). PHOTO: WARNER BROS/EVERETT COLLECTION

pleasant place to live. May the computing cloud last indefinitely!"

But what does biological me think? I leave the scanning facility feeling like I've wasted my money. I'm just as mortal as I was when I walked in. Sure, somewhere in the cloud a copy of me exists. I could even have a phone conversation with that copy and argue over who is the real me. But in the end, bio-me feels cheated.

SHARE YOUR THOUGHTS

Would you upload your mind to a computer? Join the conversation below.

Philosophically, what is the relationship between sim-me and bio-me? One way to understand it is through geometry. Imagine that my life is like the rising stalk of the letter Y. I was born at the base, and as I grew up, my mind was shaped and changed along a trajectory.

One day, I have my mind uploaded. At that moment, the Y branches. There are now two trajectories, each one convinced that it's the real me. Let's say the left branch is the sim-me and the right branch is the bio-me. The two branches proceed along different life paths, with different accumulating experiences. The right-hand branch will inevitably die. The left-hand branch can live indefinitely, and in it, the stalk of the Y will also live on as memories and experiences.

Have I really achieved digital immortality? The heart of the problem lies in that word, "really." Neither one of us is the "real" me. We form an extended, branching geometry. That geometry might not stop at two branches, either. One could imagine a much more twiggy tree that is still, collectively, "me." The idea of the individual would need to be revised or thrown out entirely.

It's a hard world to think about with any intuitive comfort because, of course, nobody has had any experience with it yet. We're all used to going to sleep at night, experiencing a form of little death and then waking up as someone who is 99.9%, but not exactly, the same. We don't obsess over whether yesterday's me died and a new person has been foisted on us in its place. We're all so used to the process that we don't think about it much. With mind uploading, we'd have to get used to a different concept of the continuity of life.



Bruce Boxleitner in 'Tron' (1982). PHOTO: BUENA VISTA/EVERETT COLLECTION

In science fiction, the philosophical conundrum of a branching geometry is usually conveniently avoided. For example, in the movie "Tron" (1982), arguably the first really popular mind-uploading fantasy, when a person

enters the digital world, his physical self magically disappears, and when he leaves the digital world, his physical self reappears. That way, you never have to think about two of him at the same time. In "The Matrix" (1999), each person has only one mind that can experience the physical world or be plugged into the simulated world of the matrix.

This kind of gimmick is a clever storytelling device that makes the fantasy digestible to the modern mind. But when mind uploading arrives for real, we will have to adjust to personhood as something more like a data file that can be duplicated and morphed into multiple versions.

Let's think through the implications even further. Technologically, there is nothing to stop sim-me from connecting to the real world, calling or Skyping, keeping up to date on the latest news, day-trading or remote-conferencing. Sim-me may live in sim-

Manhattan with other uploaded minds, but with my personality and memories, he will love my family just as I do and will want to interact with them. Sim-me will have the same political views and want to vote; he will have the same intellectual interests and want to return to the job he remembers and still loves. He'll want to be part of the world.

Biological people would become a larval stage of human.

And what would stop him? He may live in the cloud, with a simulated instead of a

physical body, but his leverage on the real world would be as good as anyone else's. We already live in a world where almost everything we do flows through cyberspace. We keep up with friends and family through text and Twitter, Facebook and Skype. We keep informed about the world through social media and internet news. Even our jobs, some of them at least, increasingly exist in an electronic space. As a university professor, for example, everything I do, including teaching lecture courses, writing articles and mentoring young scientists, could be done remotely, without my physical presence in a room.

The same could be said of many other jobs—librarian, CEO, novelist, artist, architect, member of Congress, President. So a digital afterlife, it seems to me, wouldn't become a separate place, utopian or otherwise. Instead, it would become merely another sector of the world, inhabited by an ever-growing population of citizens just as professionally, socially and economically connected to social media as anyone else.

In that imagined future, who would accumulate the most power? One possible answer is the people who live in the simulated world. They've already built a lifetime of political and economic connections. Once uploaded, they'll have centuries to accumulate more resources and to expand their empires of influence. People who live in the physical world would be mere neophytes in comparison. Biological people would become a larval stage of human, each of them aspiring to be among the lucky few who are allowed to metamorphose into the immortal elites who own the world.

A second possible answer is that the most powerful people would be those who control access to the simulated world. Think about how religions work. People at the top tell you that if you behave well, you'll enter heaven, and if you behave badly, you may end up in eternal punishment. A lot of wars have been fought based on that kind of motivation. We're told that suicide bombers are promised rewards in the afterlife. And yet, religious demagogues offer an afterlife that can't be objectively confirmed. It's an insubstantial carrot and stick.

Imagine the coercive power of an afterlife that is directly confirmable. The public could Skype with people who are in a digital heaven and (if the technology turns very dark) in a digital hell. Advertisers have known for a long time that nothing convinces people as powerfully as personal testimonial. Imagine if we all had access to the testimonials of people actually in the afterlife. Now imagine a political leader who offers that objectively confirmable heaven in return for loyalty and hell in return for betrayal. At that point, the gatekeepers of the digital afterlife gain a level of power that is impossible for anyone today to really understand.

MORE SATURDAY ESSAYS

- **When Corporations Changed Their Social Role—and Upended Our Politics** **September 6, 2019**
- **Jim Mattis: Duty, Democracy and the Threat of Tribalism** **August 28, 2019**
- **Is Your Child Emotionally Ready for College?** **August 22, 2019**
- **Has Xi Jinping Stirred a Backlash?** **August 16, 2019**

And yet, a future with mind-uploading may not be entirely dark. It would allow for the accumulation of wisdom.

Currently, we can accumulate knowledge. The invention of writing, five thousand years ago, gave us our primary tool for trans-generational accumulation of knowledge, and it also gave us the modern world.

But a wise, thoughtful mind has never been able to live across generations. Mind uploading would give us a powerful new way to accumulate skill and wisdom. It could cause as much of a change in human civilization as writing did.

And mind uploading may give us one more remarkable benefit. Currently, we are not a space-faring species, and it's hard to imagine how we ever can be. Our bodies are fragile, the cosmic rays that permeate space are toxic to us, and we don't live long enough to go anywhere interesting. The fastest rockets today would take about 50,000 years to reach Alpha Centauri, the nearest star.

Yet all of these obstacles could be overcome by mind uploading. We could have whole colonies of minds, keeping each other company in a virtual environment, sent off to explore the galaxy without any intrinsic limit of time or space. The only way for us to become a truly space-faring civilization might be not by building a spaceship environment to house the human body but by building a platform to carry the human

mind. Arguably, mind uploading is humanity's most obvious path into a deep future unburdened by our mortality or the fate of our terrestrial home.

Dr. Graziano is a professor of psychology and neuroscience at Princeton University. This essay is adapted from his new book "Rethinking Consciousness: A Scientific Theory of Subjective Experience," which will be published by W.W. Norton on Sept. 17.

Copyright © 2019 Dow Jones & Company, Inc. All Rights Reserved

This copy is for your personal, non-commercial use only. To order presentation-ready copies for distribution to your colleagues, clients or customers visit <https://www.djreprints.com>.