

City of New York
P.O. Box 2339
Peck Slip Station
New York NY 10272-2339



Mailing date of this order: 11/05/2020
Respondent: THE BOARD OF MANAGERS OF 534 W
Summons #: 012101803J



THE BOARD OF MANAGERS OF 534 WEST 42ND STREET COND
534 W 42ND ST 1/321
NEW YORK NY 10036-6219



DECISION AND ORDER

On 05/15/2020 at 534 WEST 42 STREET NEW YORK NY 10036, an agent from the FIRE DEPARTMENT OF NYC gave summons 012101803J to THE BOARD OF MANAGERS OF 534 WEST 42ND STREET COND. The agent claimed that THE BOARD OF MANAGERS OF 534 WEST 42ND STREET COND violated section VC12 of the Administrative Code or other law.

The case is now before the OATH Hearings Division. The issuing agency has stated that respondent:

- violated the law or allowed someone else to violate the law;
- fixed the problems shown on the summons; and
- told the agency that the problems were fixed.

The OATH Hearings Division finds:

- the respondent did violate or allow someone to violate the law;
- the respondent fixed the problems shown on the summons;
- the respondent has sworn to the issuing agency that the problems have been fixed; and
- the respondent does not have to pay a penalty.

City of New York
P.O. Box 2339
Peck Slip Station
New York NY 10272-2339



Mailing date of this order: 11/06/2020
Respondent: THE BOARD OF MANAGERS OF 534 W
Summons #: 012101803J

THE BOARD OF MANAGERS OF 534 WEST 42ND STREET COND
534 W 42ND ST 1/321
NEW YORK NY 10036-6219



DECISION AND ORDER

On 05/15/2020 at 534 WEST 42 STREET NEW YORK NY 10036, an agent from the FIRE DEPARTMENT OF NYC gave summons 012101803J to THE BOARD OF MANAGERS OF 534 WEST 42ND STREET COND. The agent claimed that THE BOARD OF MANAGERS OF 534 WEST 42ND STREET COND violated section VC12 of the Administrative Code or other law.

The case is now before the OATH Hearings Division. The issuing agency has stated that respondent:

- violated the law or allowed someone else to violate the law;
- fixed the problems shown on the summons; and
- told the agency that the problems were fixed.

The OATH Hearings Division finds:

- the respondent did violate or allow someone to violate the law;
- the respondent fixed the problems shown on the summons;
- the respondent has sworn to the issuing agency that the problems have been fixed; and
- the respondent does not have to pay a penalty.